

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/824,969	KUMATA, ICHIRO	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Lawrence B. Williams	2634	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Allowance

(1) Lawrence B. Williams.

(3) _____.

(2) Thomas F. Presson.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 November 2005

Time: 12:00 PM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed with applicant the language of his Response To Examiner's statement of Reasons For Allowance. Applicant apologized for the language "because the Examiner believes the claims should be interpreted to include one or more features or limitations not recited therein, Applicant's attorney disagrees". There was no mistake in Examiner's Reasons For Allowance, which includes only limitations disclosed in independent claims 1, 10, 11, 16, and 17. .

FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG LLP

745 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10151
Telephone: (212) 588-0800
Facsimile: (212) 588-0500
E-mail: Firm@flhlaw.com

FACSIMILE COVER LETTER

To: Commissioner of Patents and
Examiner Lawrence B. Williams

Firm: U.S. Patent Office - Art Unit 2634

Facsimile: 571-273-8300
571-273-3037

From: Thomas F. Presson

Date: November 21, 2005

Re: U.S. Serial No. 09/824,969
Our Ref.: 450100-03123

Number of Pages: 3
(including cover page)

cc:

If you do not receive all pages or are unable to read the transmission, please call Theo Kountotsis @ 212-863-2107

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE

The documents accompanying this transmission contain confidential information intended for a specific individual and purpose. The information is private, and is legally protected by law. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or the taking of any action in reliance on the contents of this facsimile is strictly prohibited.

00248663

PATENT
450100-03123

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE


Applicant : Ichiro KUMATA Notice of Allowance
Serial No. : 09/824,969 Dated: 02/08/2005
Confirmation No. 1867
For : TRANSMITTING CIRCUIT AND METHOD
THEREOF, RECEIVING CIRCUIT AND METHOD
THEREOF, AND DATA COMMUNICATION
APPARATUS
Filed : April 3, 2001
Examiner : Lawrence B. Williams
Art Unit : 2634

745 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10151

CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted via
facsimile to (571) 273-8300 and (571) 273-3037 on November 21,
2005.

DeAndre Breeland

(Name of person signing transmittal)


Signature
November 21, 2005

Date of Signature

**SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO EXAMINER'S
STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE**

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:


This is in response to the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance, which accompanied the Notice of Allowance mailed February 8, 2005. It is Applicant's contention that there is no particular limitation in the allowed claims that is more critical than any other. The

PATENT
450100-03123

issuance of the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance should not be construed as a surrender by Applicant of any subject matter. It is the intent of Applicant, by his attorney, to construe the allowed claims so as to cover the invention disclosed in the instant application and all equivalents to which the claimed invention is entitled.

Respectfully submitted,

FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG LLP
Attorneys for Applicant

By 
Thomas F. Presson
Reg. No. 41,442
(212) 588-0800